Student Fee Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda March 1, 2021 3:00pm - 4:30pm

Present: Brynna Downey, Gloria Qiu, Isaac Karth, Mathew Sarti, May Alvarez, Alvin Ho, Andy Huynh, Venkatesh Nagubandi, Lisa Bishop, Lydia Jenkins-Sleczkowski

- 1. Welcome and Introductions
- 2. Approval of Agenda and February 28 minutes
 - a. May motions to approve the agenda, Gloria seconds. No objections, motion passes.
 - b. Mathew motions to approve the minutes, Alvin seconds. No objections, motion passes.

3. Discussion of 2021 referenda

- a. KZSC and the Sustainability Office came to visit last week to discuss their measures on this year's ballot.
 - i. Isaac is in support of endorsing the measures.
 - ii. SFAC's opinion will be part of the ballot language. The authors will later be visiting student spaces later in the election process.
 - iii. Lydia explains the process of getting a measure on the ballot, and the requirements needed for a measure to pass.
 - iv. The members present are all supportive of both measures.
 - v. Brynna asks for volunteers who might be interested in drafting these statements of support.
 - 1. Alvin and Gloria volunteer to draft the statements of support.
- b. KZSC Materials
- c. Sustainability Office Materials

4. Funding Proposal Discussion

- a. Links
 - i. Google folder
 - ii. Ranked Evaluation spreadsheet
 - iii. Fill out thoughts ahead of time: Running document
 - iv. Brynna summarizes that the group has 17 proposals left to discuss. A weekend meeting this upcoming weekend may be needed if there are still many proposals left to review.

b. Proposals

i. #37, First-Generation *Graduate Student* Programming, \$57,842

- Isaac notes that this proposal supports first year, first generation graduate students. Historically, first gen students do not have parents in academia and have a disadvantage when coming in to graduate school. This would hire a graduate student on an hourly basis for programming.
 - a. Lisa asks if this is for students whose parents have not attended graduate school, or undergraduate studies? Isaac will check.
- 2. Alvin suggests funding stipends and student employees but not programming, about \$24,000.
- 3. Isaac supports funding fully, however notes that SFAC cannot fund given limited money left.
- 4. Alvin motions to fund student employee and stipends at \$23,921, Isaac seconds. No objections, motion passes.
- ii. #31, Sister Solidarity, \$8,500
 - 1. May says that this is held by the Women's Center. It would fund a series of events throughout winter quarter next year. They want to reach out to at least 250 students.
 - 2. Lisa notes that this was funded \$6045 last year.
 - 3. May says that the amount of events would likely be based on the amount of money received.
 - 4. Charlene motions to fund \$5,000 with no restrictions, Isaac seconds. No objections, motion passes.
 - 5. Mathew suggests asking on proposals if SFAC funding has previously been received, and if/how it has been spent. Lisa notes that because SFAC has a reimbursement model, the allocations from last year would still be held in DSAS at this point.
- iii. #2, SlugCents Financial Literacy Program, \$155,487
 - 1. Mathew likes this proposal. They have funding for everything but the Coordinator.
 - May agrees, especially in the context of COVID hardships. She supports funding employees, but not stationary or single-use materials.
 - Discussion around amount to fund.
 - 4. Clarification around year they are asking funding for, given that they mention 2023 for some of their timelines.
 - 5. Alvin motions to fund one student employee for \$13,000. Mathew seconds.
 - a. May and Brynna are confused by the timeline.
 - b. Alvin rescinds his motion.
 - Alvin motions to table until the end of the meeting in order to re-review the proposed timeline, Isaac seconds. No objections, motion passes.

- Brynna clarifies that the Coordinator is soft-funded until 2022. If we were to fund for next year, the coordinator would not be included.
- 8. Isaac motions to fund one student employee at 13,058.04, Alvin seconds. No objections, motion passes.
- iv. #17, Resource Centers Year End Ceremonies, \$58,000
 - 1. May and Mathew notes that the funding is different for different resource centers.
 - 2. Mathew asks how the committee stands on funding year-end programs? Lisa notes that the committee funded them last year, but is unsure how it was spent.
 - 3. Lydia shares that Denise will create a report summarizing receipts on Wednesday.
 - 4. Isaac motions to table the discussion until Wednesday, Mathew seconds. No objections, motion passes.
 - a. May would like to know more about the budget of online commencement vs in-person commencement, and if there was carryforward from last year.
- v. #43, Southwest Asian and North African Student (SWANA) Programs
 Intern, \$10,507
 - 1. Mathew asks the difference between work-study and non work-study? May shares that work study appointments are subsidized, and units do not have to pay the full salary.
 - a. Gloria notes that non work-study opens the candidate pool of student employees.
 - 2. Isaac motions to fund one non work-study student employee for three quarters at \$4,567. May seconds.
 - a. Mathew asks if the funding for programming would be obtained elsewhere if not funded by SFAC. He notes that the employee might not have many duties if not given funding for events.
 - b. May thinks it is more productive to fully fund one position.
 - c. Mathew objects.
 - d. 7 in favor, 1 not in favor. Motion passes.
- vi. #35, <u>Student Alumni Inspiring Leadership (SAIL) Mentor Program</u>, \$11,280
 - Gloria supports funding the event. Gloria wants to look what portions of the budget need to be funded. She suggests the Honorarium, Tech Fees and Alumni which comes out to 5080.
 - 2. Gloria motions to fund \$5080 for Honorarium, Tech Fees and Alumni. Isaac Seconds
- vii. #21, <u>Veteran Resource Center (VRC) funding for an Early Move-In Orientation Program</u>, \$10,040

- 1. Alvin points out that veteran support is important but that this might not be the best use of money.
- 2. Matthew believes that we should follow old standards.
- 3. Issac wants to fund the events and not the events or the flexi dollars
 - a. Specifically the Welcoming dinner and the networking dinner which come out to \$2300
 - Issac motions for \$2300 with no restrictions. Venkatesh
 Seconds
 - c. May recommends a suggestion for how to use that money but no restrictions.
 - d. May motions to adding an amendment that creates a suggestion for usage of that money. Isaac seconds.

Brynna points out that we need to hash out summer fee details. We also have funding modifications to. Brynna suggests a weekend meeting to finish the funding process.

Isaac motions to adjourn and May seconds.

- viii. #13, Quarry Amphitheater Production Academy Fall 2020/Spring 2021 Concert, \$60,000
- ix. #1, Global Programming, \$77,313
- x. #32, Refract Journal Undergraduate Internship, \$13,702
- 5. Announcements & Updates
- 6. Adjournment

Upcoming Guests/Topics:

- Funding modification request from Steve McKay
- Funding Modifications to Right Livelihood College from 2019-2020
- Summer Fee Levels
- Director of Budget and Resource Management Kimberly Register
- TAPS Annual Budget Review