

Student Fee Advisory Committee
Meeting Minutes
January 14, 2019

Meeting starts at 2:30

Attendance: Cowell, Stevenson, Crown, Merrill, C9, C10, Oakes, RCC, Planning and Budget, Staff Advisory Board, Grad Student (Katie)

Motion Regina to approve agenda
Second Vignesh

Motion by Vignesh to approve minutes
Second by Lydia

CSF

- Ashley: Winter meeting is Jan 27-28 at USF and UCOP
- Ashley: We're hosting Spring
- Ashley: Who's interested?
 - Lydia, Vignesh, Vankatesh, Veronica, Chase, Ashley

Announcements

- Lisa: We need to work on summer fees
- Adam: We were going to postpone until after grading
- Lisa: We need it by end of January
- Ashley: Let's talk about it at the end of today's agenda

Motion to add summer fees to the agenda at the end by Lydia
Second by Vignesh

- Adam: They're hiring an SUA treasurer

Funding Call

- Ashley: 74 applications 3.4 Million asked
- Ashley: Over the next few weeks, we'll rank each app, then average our scores
- Ashley: Last week we did two week adjourn for 50 proposals. How many weeks, either two or three?
- Vankatesh: How much funding is available?
- Ashley: about 1 million
- Regina: I like the two week idea
- Adam: I agree with two weeks
- Ashley: We'll take off the next two meetings
- Ashley: Everyone has their own tabs, don't look at others to make sure your stating your opinion and not someone else's

- Veronica: Is there a way to know if we funded someone last year?
- Ashley: Yes, but it doesn't mean it's the same proposal. We also had a lot less funds
- Veronica: Will we actually fund any of the large one that like one was 150k?
- Ashley: We might, or we might partially fund it

Late Proposal

- Ashley: We had one proposal that was late. What do we do? We could not review it at all, we could talk about it last, no penalty or other ideas
- Regina: There was a situation where there was a miscommunication which might have led to them doing it last year, no miscommunication this year
- Vignesh: Are we doing it on a rolling basis?
- Ashley: No
- Vignesh: so how would discussing it last help?
- Ashley: Its rolling based off the order we decide from our rankings
- Vankatesh: I suggest normal
- Regina: I think to put it at the bottom
- Chase: Treat it as normal
- Vankatesh: First priority and second priority could be an issue if we need to go back
- Ashley: It's just how we want to talk about it, not priority necessarily
- Adam: I think we should have a repercussion for submitting it late
- Chase: Remember, we're serving student. 2 hours late isn't that big of a deal
- Vankatesh: I don't think 2 hours late shows they
- Adam: 73 others could do it on time and people requested 3 times the amount that we have. We should prioritize those who did the process correctly
- Vignesh: We have a lot of funding sources
- Derek: We don't know why they're late, I'm fine reviewing it or even last
- **Vignesh: Motion to have the late proposal reviewed as last priority**
- **Regina: Second**
- **Adam: Object**
- Adam: I don't see how putting it last does anything and I think since we're dealing with 1 mil, we should be taking this seriously
- Ashley: Last year, others were ranked pretty high so it was a lot of work to go back and reallocate
- Regina: Being prioritize last means you don't get as much
- Adam: If they're so far down that we don't want to reallocate then why read the extra app?
- Vankatesh: No point putting it last if they wont get what they need, treat as normal app
- Vignesh: I don't think it should be considered as a normal app, I think what I proposed works
- Vankatesh: We're doing it for students, if its something we really like then its not fair to hurt the entire project based off the authors submitting a little late
- Regina: Of course we want students to do well, but deadlines are there for a reason
- Vignesh: I think this brings both sides. It punishes them but it keeps them in the running

- **Vote:** Yes: 6 No: 2, Abstain: 1
- **Motion Passes**
- Ashley: Some gave false info, what do we do?
- Adam: To expand, one said they met with me when they did not.
- Lisa: Difference if they put the wrong name or if they said they met and they didn't
- Vankatesh: What's the role of the meeting?
- Ashley: It helps us make sure you're eligible, on right track, etc.
- Adam: I think we just look over and follow up
- Vignesh: It's more about intent, more detrimental to them if they didn't get it checked
- Vankatesh: I agree with Vignesh
- Lisa: It's also for our benefit to make sure its clear. Its also a requirement. If they didn't and they just lied about it then it shouldn't be considered
- Vankatesh: I agree with Chase. Because we said it was mandatory it should be considered the same.
- Adam: I would say that they shouldn't get any funding if they blatantly lied about a part of the app
- Ashley: Lets review the list and see how many/which ones are wrong
- Veronica: I think this and the previous one should be considered equally
- Ashley: We should figure out what the mistake is
- Katie: If its a mistake, that makes sense but if they obviously didn't meet with someone, then that's much more severe. If they went against the procedure then they shouldn't qualify
- Vankatesh: All mistakes should be considered equally
- Ashley: Lets go through the proposals later and we'll search for errors then

Summer Fees

- Ashley: Every year Lisa asks us to review summer fees. Last time we talked about it, we were concerned about TAPS.
- Ashley: For now, I think we should leave the fees the same and work on this for summer 2020 in the spring
- Veronica: Do we know how much their service is cut down?
- Lisa: It all goes in the same pot
- Vankatesh: If we change it when would it go in affect?
- Ashley: If we decide today, for summer 2019. If we do spring, summer 2020
- Lisa: I think they should come in Spring
- Motion to keep TAPS the same for 2019 but talk to TAPS in spring
- Second by Vankatesh
- No Objections
- Ashley: I will talk to TAPS about spring

End of Meeting Announcements

- Ashley: For the next two Mondays we will have no meeting. During that time, please rate each of the 74 proposals and earmark how much you think we should give them
- Adam: Update office hours by the end of the week
- Lisa: We will need to approve again later.

Lydia: motion to adjourn

Vankatesh: Second